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The rare-earth borocarbides $\textit{R} \text{Ni}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$ show the coexistence of
• antiferromagnetic ordering of the rare-earth moments (below $T_N$)
• superconducting ordering of the conduction electrons (below $T_c$)
when $R = \text{Dy, Ho, Er, and Tm}$ (discovered in 1994).

The rare-earth moments are mutually coupled via the conduction electrons (the RKKY-interaction)

interdependence of the magnetic and the superconducting order parameters.

The magnetic superconductors studied previously, as for example $\textit{R} \text{Mo}_6\text{S}_8$ or $\textit{R} \text{Rh}_4\text{B}_4$, the dominating magnetic coupling is the classical dipole interaction.
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Superconducting properties

The non-magnetic “rare-earth” borocarbides \( \text{LuNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C} \) and \( \text{YNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C} \) are BCS-like type-II superconductors:

- Transition temperature: \( T_c \approx 15 \text{ K} \)
- Superconducting energy gap: \( \Delta(0) \approx 2 \text{ meV} \)
- Upper critical field: \( H_{c2}(0) \approx 100 \text{ kOe} \)
- Coherence length: \( \xi(0) \approx 100 \text{ Å} \)
- Penetration depth: \( \lambda(0) \approx 1000 \text{ Å} \)
- Ginzburg-Landau parameter: \( \kappa \approx 10 \)

Tunneling-conductance spectra: Anisotropic behaviour of the superconducting energy gap.

Band-structure calculations indicate nesting features at the Fermi surface around the wave vectors \( Q = (0.5,0,0) \) and \( (0,0.5,0) \) (in reciprocal lattice units).

This nesting is important for the phonon mediated coupling of the electrons (a softening of the transverse phonons around these wave vectors has been observed), but also for the electron mediated RKKY-exchange coupling of the rare earth moments.

The experimental (top) and calculated (bottom) Fermi surface topology of \( \text{LuNi2B2C} \). The arrow indicates the nesting feature (after Dugdale et al.).
The RKKY interaction

\[
\mathcal{H}_{\text{RKKY}} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} |I|^2 (g - 1)^2 \chi(i,j) \mathbf{J}_i \cdot \mathbf{J}_j
\]

\[
\chi(q) = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_k \frac{f(\epsilon_k) - f(\epsilon_{k-q})}{\epsilon_{k-q} - \epsilon_k}
\]

The coupling strength, when comparing the different rare-earth borocarbides, should scale with the "de Gennes factor":

\[ G = J(J+1)(g-1)^2 \]
Interdependence of magnetic and superconducting ordering

Order parameters:
Superconducting (BCS) ordering, bound state of Cooper pairs:  \( \Delta = \frac{g}{N} \sum_k \langle c_{-k\uparrow} c_{k\downarrow} \rangle \)
Magnetic ordering:  \( \langle J_z(i) \rangle = M_Q \cos(R_i \cdot Q + \phi) \)

The Cooper pairs are affected by:
1. Magnetic “impurity” scattering [“impurity” = thermal fluctuations].
2. Magnetic “superzone energy gaps” at the Fermi surface proportional to \( M_Q \).
3. A uniform magnetization \( M_0 \) adds to \( B \), (weak effect), but it may destroy superconductivity if the corresponding “exchange field”  \( \mu_B H_{RKKY} = \frac{1}{2} J (g - 1) \langle J_z \rangle \geq \Delta \)

The magnetic ordering is affected by changes in the electronic susceptibility:

The Anderson-Suhl (1959) screening of the uniform component (at \( T = 0 \)): 
Magnetic ordering: $\langle J_z(i) \rangle = M_Q \cos(R_i \cdot Q + \phi)$, $T_N = 1.5$ K, $z \parallel c$-axis, $Q = (0.09, 0.09, 0)$. (The magnetic structure is “squared up” well below the Néel temperature).

When applying a field along the $c$-axis, $M_Q$ is reduced and the antiferromagnetic phase disappears at the critical field $H_N$. Neutron diffraction measurements at 0.1 K shows that $H_N \approx H_{c2} = 10$ kOe.

The critical condition is:

$$[\mathcal{J}(Q) - \mathcal{J}(0)]\langle J_z(0) \rangle = g\mu_B H_N$$

where

$$\mathcal{J}(q) = (g - 1)^2 |I|^2 [\chi(q) - \langle \chi(k) \rangle]$$
$$\mathcal{J}(Q) = 1/\chi_J(T_N) \approx 3k_B T_N/J(J + 1)$$

A detailed analysis shows that

$$\mathcal{J}(0) \approx 0 \Rightarrow \text{estimate of } |I| \text{ indicating }$$
$$g\mu_B H_{\text{RKKY}} \approx \Delta \text{ when } H \approx \frac{1}{2} H_{c2}$$
**TmNi$_2$B$_2$C in a magnetic field**

Field along [100]:

Nesting wave vector: $Q_A = (0.48, 0, 0) \parallel H$.

Quadrupolar ordering induced by a lattice distortion at $Q_A$:

$$\langle O^1_2(i) \rangle = \langle J_x J_z \rangle \cos(Q_A \cdot R_i + \phi)$$

Field along [100], the x axis, implies:

$$\langle J_x(i) \rangle = M_0 \Rightarrow \langle O^1_2(i) \rangle \approx \langle J_x(i) \rangle \langle J_z(i) \rangle = M_0 \langle J_z(i) \rangle$$
Magnetic properties of \( \text{ErNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C} \)

Magnetic ordering: \( \langle J_z(i) \rangle = M_Q \cos(R_i \cdot Q + \phi) \) \( T_N = 6.0 \) K, \( z \parallel b\)-axis, \( Q = (0.554, 0, 0) \). The magnetic structure is “squared up” below \( \sim 4 \) K (commensurable lock-in effects), and \( Q = (0.549, 0, 0) \) below \( 2 \) K (Lynn et al.). Ferromagnetic component below \( T_{\text{Curie}} \approx 2.3 \) K of \( \sim 0.3 \mu_B/\text{Er} \) (Choi et al.).

Orthorhombic distortion (Detlefs et al.).

Strong crystal-field anisotropy (inelastic powder diffraction and susceptibility measurements, Gasser et al.):

4-states clock model:

Commensurable structures:
\( Q = (4/7, 0, 0) \), ferromagnetic \( bc \) layers:
The ferromagnetic component in ErNi$_2$B$_2$C

Transition between two commensurable structures, both with a period of 40 $bc$-layers, $Q = (0.55, 0, 0)$, at $T_{\text{Curie}} = 2.3$ K:

The d(3p)d(5p)d(5p)-structure below $T_{\text{Curie}}$ (at 1.3 K). The net moment per Er ion is approx. $8\mu_B$ times 4/40.

The d(4p)u(5p)u(4p)d(5p)-structure above $T_{\text{Curie}}$ (at 2.4 K). The net moment is zero.

Scans along [h,0,0] measured with unpolarized neutrons by Choi et al. The data have been offset for clarity. The blue and red lines (corresponding to odd and even harmonics, respectively) are the calculated results.
The magnetization curves at 2 K. The crosses connected by dashed lines show the experimental results of Canfield et al. The remaining solid and dashed lines are the calculated results. The results in the case of H ll [100] have been shifted upwards by 2 units.

The phase diagram derived from neutron diffraction studies. The black circles denote the transitions detected in the magnetization measurements.

- Reversible behaviour of the minority domain (Q ll H) in the superconducting phase H < Hc2

The dduu to duuu transition:
The upper critical field in the rare earth borocarbides
1. The Anderson-Suhl screening of the electronic bulk susceptibility is important for explaining
   • the stability of the antiferromagnetic phase in TmNi$_2$B$_2$C.
   • the strong influence of the uniform magnetization on the upper critical field.

2. The nesting feature at the Fermi surface at $Q \approx (0.5, 0, 0)$ is decisive for
   • the RKKY interaction, which, in most cases, has its maximum close to $Q$.
   • a particular strong coupling between the conduction electrons and the phonons at this $Q$, inducing, possibly, a quadrupolar-ordered phase in TmNi$_2$B$_2$C.

3. With the exception of the long wavelength antiferromagnetic phase in TmNi$_2$B$_2$C and the reversible behaviour of the minority domains in ErNi$_2$B$_2$C, the influences of the superconducting ordering on the magnetic properties are surprisingly weak.

4. In contrast, the antiferromagnetic ordering has strong influences on the superconducting properties, i.e. the superzone gaps are efficient in reducing the available number of Cooper-pair bound states.

5. The destruction of Cooper pairs due to thermal magnetic fluctuations is probably of less significance than might have been expected. The strong magnetic anisotropy of the rare-earth ions in the superconducting compounds may be the decisive factor.