



Faculty of Science

# Chiral spin-wave excitations of the spin-5/2 trimers in the langasite compound Ba<sub>3</sub>NbFe<sub>3</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>O<sub>14</sub>

Jens Jensen Niels Bohr Institute Universitetsparken 5 Copenhagen, Denmark

Theoretical and Experimental Magnetism Meeting Rutherford-Appleton Laboratory June 16-17, 2011





## Background

•K. Marty, V. Simonet, E. Ressouche, R. Ballou, P. Lejay, and P. Bordet: X-ray scattering: spacegroup P321, single domain (enantiopure) crystal, chirality e<sub>T</sub> = -1 Neutron diffraction measurements: Fe moments helically ordered below 27 K, Q ~ 1/7 c<sup>\*</sup>. Polarized neutron diffraction indicated a single domain of helicity.
•C. Stock, L. C. Chapon, A. Schneidewind, Y. Su, P. G. Radaelli, D. F. McMorrow, A. Bombardi, N. Lee, and S.-W. Cheong: Neutron scattering (elastic, polarized): diffuse scattering in paramagnetic phase. Inelastic neutron scattering (unpolarized): Spin waves in the a\*c\* plane.
•M. Loire, V. Simonet, S. Petit, K. Marty, P. Bordet, P. Lejay, J. Ollivier, M. Enderle, P. Steffens, E. Ressouche, A. Zorko, and R. Ballou: Inelastic neutron scattering (unpolarized and polarized): Spin waves in the b\*c\* plane. Linear spin-wave theory: interpretation of the results.



# Ground state properties

Hund's rules applied on Fe<sup>3+</sup> ion with five 3d electrons  $\Rightarrow S = \frac{5}{2}, L = 0$ Trigonal space group (P321). Different super-superexchange paths for  $J_5$  and  $J_3$ . Structural chirality  $\epsilon_T = \pm 1$  (Marty et al.,  $\epsilon_T = -1$ ). S,  $\epsilon_H = -1$   $\epsilon_H = +1$  $T < T_N = 27$  K: Helix with  $\vec{Q} \simeq \frac{1}{7}\vec{c}^*$  or a turn angle  $\phi = \epsilon_H \frac{2\pi}{7} \sim$  helicity  $\epsilon_H = \pm 1$ The angle between  $\langle \vec{S}_1 \rangle$  and  $\langle \vec{S}_2 \rangle$  is  $\gamma = \epsilon_{\gamma} \frac{2\pi}{3} \sim \text{spin triangle orientation}$ :  $\epsilon_{\gamma} = \pm 1$  $\tan \phi = R \sin \gamma, \quad R = \frac{2(J_5 - J_3)}{2J_4 - J_3 - J_5} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \epsilon_H = \operatorname{sign}(R) \epsilon_{\gamma}$ 

Dias 3

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{Cluster}\;\mathsf{MF}/\mathsf{RPA}\;\mathsf{model}\\ \\ \mathcal{H}_{T} = J_{1}\left(\vec{S}_{1}\cdot\vec{S}_{2}+\vec{S}_{2}\cdot\vec{S}_{3}+\vec{S}_{3}\cdot\vec{S}_{1}\right) + D_{c}\left(\vec{S}_{1}\times\vec{S}_{2}+\vec{S}_{2}\times\vec{S}_{3}+\vec{S}_{3}\times\vec{S}_{1}\right)\cdot\hat{c}\\ \\ \mathcal{H} = \sum_{i}\mathcal{H}_{T}(i) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,\xi}\sum_{j,\eta}J_{\xi\eta}(ij)\,\vec{S}_{\xi}(i)\cdot\vec{S}_{\eta}(j)\\ \\ J_{1} = 1.25,\;J_{2} = 0.2,\;J_{3} = 0.1,\;J_{4} = 0.064,\;J_{5} = 0.29,\;D_{c} = +0.0038\\ (\text{in units of meV}).\\ \\ \hline \\ \mathbf{f}_{0} = \int_{0}^{1}\frac{\mathcal{H}_{T}}{\mathcal{H}_{N}} = 70\;\mathsf{K}\\ \\ \mathbf{f}_{N} = 36.7\;\mathsf{K}\\ \\ \mathbf{f}_{N} = 36.7\;\mathsf$$

C axis

## Spin waves in a simple helix

The spin-wave mode shown is the one, where all spins are precessing in phase,  $q_{\rm rel}\approx 0.$ 

 $\omega t = 0$ : the *ab* component of  $\Delta \vec{S}$  (the green arrow) has the same wave vector and the same sense of rotation (helicity) as the helix.

 $\omega t = \pi/2$ : the *c* component of  $\Delta \vec{S}$  (the orange arrow) has zero wave vector and is independent of the sense of rotation.



### Spin waves in the triangular helix

The spins along a line parallel to the c axis precess in the same way as in the case of a simple helix, but the basis of three spins in the triangles implies the presence of three different polarizations of the spin-wave excitations:



#### Unpolarized neutron scattering

500





200



### Polarized neutron scattering I

Experiments at 1.5 K by M. Loire, V. Simonet, S. Petit, K. Marty, P. Bordet, P. Lejay, J. Ollivier, M. Enderle, P. Steffens, E. Ressouche, A. Zorko, and R. Ballou, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 207201 (2011).

R. M. Moon, T. Riste, and W. C. Koehler, Phys. Rev. **181**, 920 (1969)  
$$\frac{d\sigma^{\pm/\mp}}{d\Omega} = \sum_{ij} e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}_{ij}} p_i p_j^* \left[\vec{S}_{\perp i}\cdot\vec{S}_{\perp j}\mp i\hat{z}\cdot(\vec{S}_{\perp i}\times\vec{S}_{\perp j})\right]$$
$$S(\vec{k},\omega) = \frac{I^{\pm}(\vec{k},\omega) + I^{\mp}(\vec{k},\omega)}{2}, \quad C(\vec{k},\omega) = \frac{I^{\pm}(\vec{k},\omega) - I^{\mp}(\vec{k},\omega)}{2}, \quad \hat{z} = \hat{k}$$

Simple helix with helicity 
$$\epsilon_H$$
:  
Static:  $\frac{C(\mathbf{k})}{S(\mathbf{k})} = \frac{2\cos\theta}{1+\cos^2\theta} \left[\delta(\mathbf{G} - \mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{k}) - \delta(\mathbf{G} + \mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{k})\right] \epsilon_H$   
Dynamic:  $\frac{C(\mathbf{k},\omega)}{S(\mathbf{k},\omega)} = 0$  or  $\frac{C(\mathbf{k},\omega)}{S(\mathbf{k},\omega)} = \pm \frac{2\cos\theta}{1+\cos^2\theta} \epsilon_H$   
where  $\cos\theta = \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{Q}/|\mathbf{k}||\mathbf{Q}|$  and  $\mathbf{G}$  is a reciprocal lattice vector.

#### Polarized neutron scattering II



$$\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{X-ray exp. (Marty et al.)} & \Rightarrow & \epsilon_T = -1. \\ \mbox{Unpolarized neutron exp. (Loire et al.)} & \Rightarrow \\ R = \frac{2(J_5 - J_3)}{2J_4 - J_3 - J_5} < 0, \mbox{ or } sign(R) = \epsilon_T \\ \mbox{or} & \epsilon_H = \epsilon_\gamma \, \epsilon_T \end{array}$$



## Conclusion

•Dynamic chiral effects have been observed before – in MnSi (critical fluctuations) and in CsMnBr<sub>3</sub> (in an applied field) – but not as clearly exposed as here in  $Ba_3NbFe_3Si_2O_{14}$ .

•M. Loire, V. Simonet, S. Petit, K. Marty, P. Bordet, P. Lejay, J. Ollivier, M. Enderle, P. Steffens, E. Ressouche, A. Zorko, and R. Ballou performed the first polarized neutron experiments showing the unique properties of the spin waves in Ba<sub>3</sub>NbFe<sub>3</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>O<sub>14</sub>.

•The main conclusions of Loire et al. based on linear spin-wave theory were the same as presented here.

•The spin triangles are relatively strongly frustrated, and the cluster-MF/RPA calculations show that the single-spin MF approximation is unreliable.

•The spin dynamics derived from a boson representation (Holstein-Primakoff) works "surprisingly" well – the results derived from the cluster-MF/RPA calculations are nearly the same as predicted by linear spin-wave theory (in the zero temperature limit).

